

# **Science Heritage Journal (GWS)**

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26480/gws.01.2024.48.52





ISSN: 2521-0858 (Print) ISSN: 2521-0866 (Online) CODEN: SHJCAS

RESEARCH ARTICLE

# UTILIZATION OF TWO LOCALLY SOURCED PLANT POWDERS AS PRESERVATIVES AGAINST *SITOPHILUS ZEAMAIS* MOTSCHULSKY (COLEOPTERA: CURCULIONIDAE) SUSCEPTIBILITY

Jacobs M. Adesinaa\*, Ruth O. Onasanyab, Titilayo E. Mobolade-Adesinac, Mayo S. Ayodejid, Sheu A. Dattijoc, Musa Garbac, Kayode D. Ilekef

- <sup>a</sup> Department of Crop Production Technology, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, P. M. B. 1019, Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria.
- b Department of Pest Management Technology, Federal College of Agriculture, P. M. B. 5029, Moor Plantation, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.
- Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, P. M. B. 1019, Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria.
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Pest Management Technology, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, P. M. B. 1019, Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria.
- Department of Pest Management Technology, Audu Bako College of Agriculture, P. M. B. 3159, Danbatta, Kano State, Nigeria.
- Department of Biology, Federal University of Technology, P. M. B. 704, Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria
- $* Corresponding \ Author \ Email: mobola desina@rugipo.edu.ng/mobola desina@yahoo.com$

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License CC BY 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **ARTICLE DETAILS**

#### Article History:

Received 23 June 2024 Revised 09 July 2024 Accepted 19 August 2024 Available online 21 August 2024

#### **ABSTRACT**

Due to the unfavourable and dangerous effects of conventional insecticides, protecting stored food grains against insect pest infestation has become a significant issue. Against this back drop, a laboratory experiment arranged in Complete Randomised Design was conducted to evaluate the insecticidal activity of *Bridellia micrantha* and *Chasmanthra dependens* against *S. zeamais* infestation on stored maize. Twenty grams (20g) maize seeds were admixed with 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0g of each of the treatments in triplicates. Results showed that both plant materials caused significant adult mortality, suppressed infestation (9.45 – 21.71%), seed damage (6.88 – 21.71%) and reduced weight loss (5.79 – 34.01%) compared to control with increasing dosage rates. However, none of the plant powders was able to guarantee 100% protection against infestation, but both plant powders showed a significant promising effect in suppressing *S. zeamais* susceptibility to infestation and seed damage. The results obtained suggest that *B. micrantha* and *C. dependens* may be explored as a good potential botanical source insecticide as effective and suitable alternative to synthetic insecticides for *S. zeamais* infestation management on stored maize seeds due to their toxicity, oviposition deterrent, ovicidal activity and seed damage suppression.

# KEYWORDS

Adult Mortality, Infestation, Insecticidal Activity, Oviposition Deterrent, Promising Effect, Susceptibility

# 1. Introduction

Maize (Zea mays) is an important cereal cultivated in the various agro ecological zones of Nigeria. It is gradually becoming "cash crop" with at least 30% of the arable land being put to maize cultivation under different cropping systems and amongst increasing use by food processing industries, livestock feed mills, and other agro allied industries (Ayeni, 1991; Tijani and Osotimehin, 2007). Sitophilus zeamais Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) infestation plays a significant role in lowering maize production below the qualities and yield required by a growing human population and rapidly increasing livestock enterprise. The insect is one of the most destructive insect pests of stored cereal food grains and has caused significant weight loss as well as impaired viability, reduced commercial worth and nutritional content of maize seeds; the extent of infestation and damages caused by S. zeamais called for its prompt control to enhance self-sufficiency and food security.

The control of this insect depends comprehensively on the usage of synthetic insecticides and fumigants for many years due to its quick knock down effect. Though, continuous and indiscriminate heavy usage of chemical control has led to numerous complications comprising deadly residual effects, ecological contamination, increased resistance strains, pest resurgence, secondary pest outbreak, killing of non-target species in insects and human health risk (Isman 2006; Salem et al., 2007; Ofuya et al.,

2008; Shiberu and Negeri, 2016). In view of the several harms linked with the use of synthetic insecticides and fumigants for the management of stored products insect, it is therefore imperative to seek alternative sources that are freely obtainable, inexpensive, relatively less toxic and less injurious to the environment. Presently, consideration is being concentrated on the utilization of plant materials in pest management of stored products since they are found to be rich source of bioactive compounds and are commonly used as foods and in folk medicine.

Plants are considered as biological factories that produce different range of compounds collectively denoted as secondary metabolite (Kim et al., 2005). Thus, plants may offer prospective secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, terpenoids, phenolics, and flavonoids, substitute to presently used insect-control tactics since they constitute a rich source of bioactive substances (Abou-Elnaga, 2015). Plant based insecticides including essential oils are categorized by low mammalian poisonousness, minimal effect on non-target entities and short persistence in the environment, making them possibly desirable for integration in integrated pest management plans (Isman, 2006; Georges et al., 2007; Al-Alawi, 2014a). In continuation of exploration of plant materials for the management of stored products' insect pests, this study was conceived to evaluate the entomocidal potential of *Bridellia micrantha* (Hochst.) Baill. and

Access this article online

Quick Response Code



**Website:** www.jscienceheritage.com

DOI:

10.26480/gws.01.2024.48.52

Chasmanthra dependens Hochst. against S. zeamais infestation on stored maize.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1 Insect culture and experimental conditions

Sitophilus zeamais used for this study were gotten from an already standing culture in the Entomology Laboratory, Department of Crop Production Technology, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, Owo, Ondo State, Nigeria (7°11'N 5°35'E). They were sub-cultured in a Kilner jar, containing 250g of uninfested Oba maize cultivar. The insect culture and the experiment were kept at ambient laboratory condition of  $30\pm2^{\circ}$ C temperature,  $75\pm5\%$  Relative humidity and a 12:12 light:dark photoperiod.

#### 2.2 Collection and preparation of plant materials

The plant parts were collected fresh from (Table 1) Araromi Obu in Irele Local Government Area of Ondo State (6° 36' 0" N, 4° 30' 0" E) and is genuineness were validated at the Forestry and Wood Technology Department, Rufus Giwa Polytechnic, Owo, Ondo State where a specimen voucher was deposited. The plant materials were immersed in clean water to eliminate dirt, thereafter air-dried for a period of 2 weeks under a room temperature to avoid likely volatilization of the bioactive constituents and ground into very fine powder using hammer mill after being pounded with pestle and mortar. The powder was sieved using 1mm² perforations sieve and packed in plastic container tightly covered with lids and kept in laboratory cabinet till use.

| Table 1: Botanical used for the experiment |                |                        |                |            |  |
|--|----------------|------------------------|----------------|------------|--|
|  | Common Name    | Botanical Name         | Family Name    | Parts Used |  |
| 1  | Coast goldleaf | Bridellia micrantha    | Phyllanthaceae | Leaves     |  |
| 2  | Chasmanthera   | Chasmanthera dependens | Menispermaceae | Bark       |  |

#### 2.3 Maize bioassay source

Maize seeds used for this study were obtained from Agricultural Input Supply Company (AISC) Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria (7° 15' North, 5° 12' East) with no history of insecticides and visible sign of insect infestation. Nevertheless, the maize seeds were positioned in a Gallenkamp oven (model 250) for 4 hours at 40°C to kill any developmental stages of insect (if any) and later air dried to prevent mouldiness in the laboratory (Adedire et al., 2011).

# 2.4 Toxicological effects of *Bridellia micrantha* and *Chasmanthra dependens* plant powders

Twenty grams (20g) of the maize seed were weighed into fifteen (15) 125ml plastic containers. Plant powder weighing 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0g were put in the plastic containers and thoroughly tumble with the maize seeds to ensure proper mixing. The experiment was set up in a Completely Randomized Design (CRD) and each treatment was replicated three times. Ten (10) unsexed adult S. zeamais were introduced into each plastic container and covered. There was also control experiment with no addition of plant powder. The experiment was left undisturbed on the work bench in the laboratory for observation. Weevil mortality was assessed every 24 hours up to 96 hours. Knocked-down adults were considered as being alive if after a touch of "safety pin" they exhibited sustained movement of their legs under a magnifying glass for some seconds (Adesina and Mobolade-Adesina, 2016). Dead weevils were removed and discarded after every count. After 14 days, all dead and alive insects, were removed from each container leaving only the seeds in their corresponding containers. Percentage adult mortality was corrected using Abbott formula modified (Abbott, 1925; Baskar et al., 2011).

$$\% \ corrected \ mortality = \frac{\% \ motarlity \ in \ treatment - \ \% \ mortality \ in \ control}{100 \ - \ \% \ mortality \ in \ control} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

The experimental set up was left on the workbench in the laboratory for 45 days pending first filial (F1) generation emergence. Each treatment container was sifted and newly emerged adult *S. zeamais* were counted and recorded. The percentage adult emergence reduction was considered using the method of (Adesina et al., 2015).

% Adult emergence reduction 
$$= \frac{\text{no of emerged adult from control dish} - \text{no of emerged adult from treated dish}}{\text{no of emerged adult from control dish}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

The percentage loss in weight of the maize seeds was evaluated by reweighing the stored maize seeds 45 days after treatments and the percentage loss in weight was determined as follows:

% weight loss = 
$$\frac{\text{initial weight} - \text{final weight}}{\text{intial weight}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$

The numbers of damaged seeds were calculated by counting wholesome seeds and seeds with adult exit holes after re-weighing. This was used to determine percentage seed infestation and percentage insect tolerance as follows:

% infestation = 
$$\frac{\text{no of seeds with adult exit hole}}{\text{total no of seeds}} \times \frac{100}{1}$$
  
% insect tolerance =  $\frac{\text{No of seeds without adult exit hole}}{\text{No of seeds with adult exit hole}} \times \frac{1}{1}$ 

#### 2.5 Qualitative Phytochemical Screening

The plant powders were qualitatively screened for phytochemical constituents for the presence of alkaloids, saponins, tannins, glycosides and flavonoids centered on visual scrutiny of color or precipitate formation after addition of specific reagents according to a method described (Wadood et al., 2013; Yadav and Agarwala, 2011).

# 2.6 Data Analysis

Data collected were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and treatment means were separated using the new Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT). The ANOVA was performed using GenStat  $14^{\rm th}$  Edition of 2011.

#### 3. RESULTS

Results presented in Table 2 showed the susceptibility of adult *S. zaemais* to different dosages of *B. micrantha* and *C. dependens* over a period of time. There was a significant difference (p<0.05) in death rate of *S. zaemais* owing to botanical source insecticide at 2.0 g being the best active, compared to other dosages and control treatment (Table 2). The current study exhibited that plant species, dose of powder applied, and the contact time significantly predisposed the percentage susceptibility rate of adult weevils to the plant powders. Highest mortality rate was recorded at 96 h post infestation and lowest mortality at 24h post infestation.

Table 2: Percentage death rate of adult S. zeamais in maize treated with B. micrantha and C. dependens powders

| Death rate hours after infestation |               |                      |              |                    |                      |                      |                      |                      |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                                    | B. micrantha  |                      |              |                    | C. dependens         |                      |                      |                      |
| Rat                                | tes 24h       | 48h                  | 72h          | 96h                | 24h                  | 48h                  | 72h                  | 96h                  |
| 0g                                 | 0.00          | 0.00                 | 0.00         | 0.00               | 0.00                 | 0.00                 | 0.00                 | 0.00                 |
| 0.5g (                             | 0.00          | 0.00                 | 20.00±3.06°  | $35.00\pm2.06^{d}$ | 0.00                 | $10.00 \pm 1.16^{d}$ | $20.67 \pm 2.71^{d}$ | $38.50 \pm 1.04^{d}$ |
| 1.0g (                             | 0.00±         | $10.33 \pm 0.18^{c}$ | 22.67± 2.04° | 40.00± 2.41°       | 0.00                 | 20.50± 2.64°         | 35.80± 1.55°         | 45.00± 2.36°         |
| 1.5g                               | 10.33± 1.17 a | $20.00\pm2.62^{b}$   | 30.00± 2.91b | 55.00± 1.94b       | 6.00±1.57b           | 25.00± 2.83b         | 40.00± 2.08b         | 58.00± 2.91b         |
| 2.0g                               | 10.33± 1.26a  | $30.00\pm1.02^{a}$   | 45.00±3.18a  | 63.00± 2.83ª       | $10.00 \pm 1.15^{a}$ | 40.00±2.22a          | 67.00±1.92a          | 70.33±3.18a          |

Each value is a mean ± standard error of four replicate. Means within column followed by the same letter are not expressively different at (P> 0.05) using New Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Results in Table 3 showed that maize grains treated with low dosage of plant products were significantly susceptible to *S. zaemais* infestation while higher dosage rate resulted in high tolerance and lower infestation level. The results clearly indicated that stored maize susceptibility and

tolerance to *S. zaemais* infestation is directly proportional to the increasing level of *B. micrantha* and *C. dependens* admixed with the stored maize

Table 3: Percentage S. zeamais tolerance and infestation to B. micrantha and C. dependens powder

| % tolerance |                          |                      | % S. zeamais infestation |                         |  |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Rates       | B. micrantha             | C. dependens         | B. micrantha             | C. dependens            |  |
| 0g          | 11.58±0.73e              | 12.68± 1.65e         | 55.40±2.01ª              | 59.51±2.50 <sup>a</sup> |  |
| 0.5g        | $26.35 \pm 2.33^{d}$     | $36.03 \pm 1.37^{d}$ | $21.71\pm1.34^{b}$       | 12.32±2.39b             |  |
| 1.0g        | $32.74 \pm 1.66^{\circ}$ | $40.10\pm2.12^{c}$   | 19.38± 1.25°             | 11.07±2.04b             |  |
| 1.5g        | $37.08 \pm 2.02^{b}$     | $46.13 \pm 2.44^{b}$ | $15.31\pm2.89^{d}$       | 9.67±1.25°              |  |
| 2.0g        | $55.69 \pm 2.84^{2}$     | 51.16± 3.45a         | 10.50± 1.43e             | 9.45±0.76°              |  |

Each value is a mean ± standard error of four replicate. Means within column followed by the same letter are not expressively different at (P> 0.05) using New Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Table 4: Percentage seed damage and weight loss of maize grains admixed with B. micrantha and C. dependens leaves powder

|       | Percentage seed da   | mage Per                | Percentage weight loss |                         |  |
|-------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Rates | B. micrantha         | C. dependens            | B. micrantha           | C. dependens            |  |
| 0g    | 25.40± 1.28a         | 49.51±2.33 <sup>a</sup> | 58.96±3.07ª            | 57.71±2.43ª             |  |
| 0.5g  | 21.71± 2.05b         | $10.67 \pm 1.84^{b}$    | 34.01±2.89b            | 35.19±3.07 <sup>b</sup> |  |
| 1.0g  | 19.05± 0.93°         | $11.06 \pm 1.82^{b}$    | 15.59±3.12°            | 15.88±2.44°             |  |
| 1.5g  | $15.31 \pm 1.67^{d}$ | 7.01±0.73°              | 9.54±2.44 <sup>d</sup> | 8.98±1.66d              |  |
| 2.0g  | 10.49± 1.02e         | 6.88±1.18°              | 7.06±2.02e             | 5.79±2.82e              |  |

Each value is a mean ± standard error of four replicate. Means within column followed by the same letter are not expressively different at (P> 0.05) using New Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Maize grains treated with the different dosages of  $B.\ micrantha$  and  $C.\ dependens$  powders expressively (P<0.05) decreased damage caused by  $S.\ zeamais$  equated to the untreated grains. Grains treated with the highest dosage of powders recorded the lowest percentage weight loss of 5.79% and 7.06%, respectively while the lowest dosage of both powder (0.5g) recorded higher percentage weight loss of 35.19% and 34.01%, respectively as shown in Table 4, while the untreated grains compared to the highest dosages of both powder severed highest percentage weight loss. Similar trend was equally observed in terms of percentage seeds damage.

The effect of the two plant powders on *S. zeamais* adult emergence was presented in Table 5. The plants significantly influence *S. zeamais* adult emergence. Highest percentage adult emerged from the control dish and the minimum was recorded from dishes treated with 2.0g plant powder. However, all the dosages of *B. micrantha* and *C. dependens* powders have adult emergence inhibition effect by suppressing F1 progeny emergence in *S. zeamais* on treated maize, but none completely prevent adult *S. zeamais* from emerging from treated maize seeds.

**Table 5:** Mean adult emergence and adult exit hole of *S. zeamais* admixed with B. micrantha and C. dependens Adult emergence Rates B. micrantha C. dependens 0g 11.61±2.53a 13.33±2.33a 3.63±1.03b 3.56±2.01b 0.5g 1.0g 2.71±2.02c 2.71±1.94c 1.73±0.33d 1.58±0.80° 1.5g 2.0g 1.02±  $0.15^{e}$ 1.00±1.82d

Each value is a mean ± standard error of four replicate. Means within column followed by the same letter are not expressively different at (P> 0.05) using New Duncan's Multiple Range Test.

Phytochemical constituents of the plants discovered the presence of tannin, saponin, flavonoid and alkaloids in both plants and absence of glycosides in *B. micrantha* (Table 6). The presence of these phytochemicals depicts their insecticidal potentials.

| <b>Table 6:</b> Qualitative phytochemical constituents for <i>Bridelia</i> micrantha and <i>Chasmanthera dependens</i> |                       |                           |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Phytochemical constituents   | Bridelia<br>micrantha | Chasmanthera<br>dependens |  |  |  |
| Flavonoids   | -                     | +                         |  |  |  |
| Tannins  | +                     | +                         |  |  |  |
| Alkaloids  | +                     | +                         |  |  |  |
| Saponins   | +                     | +                         |  |  |  |
| Glycosides   | +                     | +                         |  |  |  |

# 4. DISCUSSION

Emerging botanical insecticides that are effective against insect pests but safe for pollinators and other useful organisms is essential for justifiable pest management, while appraisal of insecticidal action is one of the major steps in the unearthing and development of botanical insecticides (Al-Alawi 2014a; 2014b). The result of the current study discovered that both powders of *B. micrantha* and *C. dependens* possess insecticidal properties as they evoked adult *S. zaemais* mortality higher than the control at all doses used. The significantly highest mean mortality of adult *S. zaemais* recorded in the maize seeds treated with *B. micrantha* and *C. dependens* at the various dosages and exposure periods showed that they were effective in controlling the insects. The efficacy of the powders was dependent on the dosage used and period of exposure. This was in accordance with the study of (Mulungu et al., 2007; Patole, 2009; Yankanchi and Gadache, 2010; Ziaee and Moharramipour, 2013).

A group researcher stated that time is a factor to be considered in the use of plant powders as grain protectants (Akunne et al., 2014a; 2014b). Toxic action of the plant powders increased with increasing exposure period and the rise in death rate according to increasing contact period and dosage was due to the escalation of the quantity of bioactive constituents in the plant materials. The contact time is decisive for the efficacy of *B. micrantha* and *C. dependens* powders, as insects' movement increases the interaction of the insect cuticle with dust particles (Athanassiou et al., 2005).

The lower percentage survival recorded against *S. zaemais* caused by *B. micrantha* and *C. dependens* could be linked to (i) the chemical constituents present in the plants which are inimical to the insects and (ii) the desiccation occasioned by the harshness of the small particles of the

plant powders, as inert dust and sand works on same principle which breakdowns the layer of wax on the epicuticle, aggravating the deadly loss of water as reported or dehydrates the insect cuticle and aggravates asphyxia in insect (Korunic 1998; Fields and Korunic 2000; Kavallieratos et al., 2005; Subramanyam and Roesli, 2000; Akunne et al., 2014b).

The decrease in the rate of emerged adults detected from the study could be as a consequence of the admixture of maize seeds with plant powders which fill the insect intergranular air spaces and prevent adult free movement for mating and oviposition. This assertion confirms the positions of who stated that percentage adult emergence is directly proportional to the insect oviposition rate (Adesina and Ofuya, 2015; Adesina et al., 2011). The failure of these insects to develop may be due to the death of the insect's larvae, which may arise due to incapability of the larvae to completely cast off their exoskeleton. The secondary metabolites found in these plants could be liable for the failure of the adult insects to emerge as discoursed by who stated that secondary metabolites in botanicals are found to interrupt growth and reduce larva existence as well as interfere with the life cycle of insects (Mordue-Luntz and Nisbet, 2000; Yang et al., 2006). A group researcher detected that plant products lowered the emergence of progeny by increasing adult death rate, inhibiting oviposition, ovicidal and larvicidal activities (Suleiman and Yusuf, 2011; Ibrahim et al., 2012; Mofunanya and Nta, 2016; Obembe et al., 2020). While, many researchers disclosed that adult S. zeamais, are exterminated by contact with diatomaceous earths, Triplex powders Nigerian raw diatomite correspondingly (Arthur and Throne, 2003; Tadesse and Subramanyam, 2018; Medugu et al., 2020). Nonetheless, some oviposition could still take place and offspring destruction may not be effective. That may explain the lowest emergence of progeny at the lowest treatment doseage.

Mean proportion of seed damage and loss in weight were much more in the control dish compared to the maximum protection offered maize grains treated with plant powders. The reduced damage and loss in weight may be due to the oviposition deterrent and/or ovicidal properties which suppressed or inhibited egg laying and hatching resulted into low emerged adult to devour the stored maize. The reduced damaged seed and loss in weight in the seeds treated with plant powders might also be as a result of the antifeedant properties of the plants evaluated. From this study, it can be observed that percentage damaged seed and loss in weight is directly proportional to number or percentage adult emergence from treated seeds.

Some chemical substances available in the plants include alkaloids, tannins, saponins, flavonoids, and are bioactive constituents found in the evaluated plants; which could be responsible for the insecticidal action demonstrated by the plants, that resulted in low adult *S. zaemais* survival and progeny emergence thus leading to the prevention of the treated maize grains from damages (Trease and Evans 1989; Okwu et al., 2006). The observation from this study aligned with the assertion Adesina et al. (2016) of who conveyed the insecticidal efficacy of *B. micrantha* in subduing *Podagrica uniforma* and *Nisotra dilecta* infestation on *Abelmoschus esculentus*.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

The observed adult insect mortality, reduced  $F_1$ adult emergence, seed damage and percentage weight loss after the application of the plant powders may be due to the bioactive components of the plant species that were responsible for insecticidal activities against S. zeamais infestation. The results obtained suggest that B. micrantha and C. dependens may be explored as a good potential botanical source insecticide as effective and suitable alternative to synthetic insecticides for S. zeamais infestation management on stored maize seeds due to their toxicity, oviposition deterrent, ovicidal activity and seed damage suppression. Further study is recommended for the isolation and characterization of the plant bioactive molecules to determine its mode of action.

# REFERENCES

- Abbott, W.S., 1925. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology, 18, Pp. 265–267.
- Abou-Elnaga, Z.S., 2015. Efficacy of extracts of some Egyptian plants against economically important stored grain pest Sitophilus oryzae L. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies, 3 (1), Pp. 87-91.
- Adedire, C.O., Obembe, O.O., Akinkurolele, R.O., and Oduleye, O., 2011. Response of Callosobruchus maculatus (Coleoptera: Chysomelidae: Bruchidae) to extracts of cashew kernels. Journal of Plant Disease and Protection, 118 (2), Pp. 75-79. doi.org/10.1007/BF03356385.

- Adesina, J.M., and Mobolade-Adesina, T.E., 2016. Tolerance activities of Callasobruchus maculatus (F.) (Coleoptera: chrysomelidae) against Secamone afzelii (Schult) K. Schum Leaf Extracts. Jordan Journal of Agricultural Science, 12 (4), Pp. 1141-1154.
- Adesina, J.M., Ileke, K.D., Yallappa, R., and Ofuya, T.I., 2016. Insecticidal evaluation of Bridelia micrantha and Dalbergia lactea aqueous extracts for the control of Podagrica uniforma (Jacoby) and Nisotra dilecta (Jacoby) (Coleoptera: Chysomelidae) infestation on okra. AGRIVITA Journal of Agricultural Science, 38 (3), Pp. 269-274. http://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v38i3.845.
- Adesina, J.M., Jose, A.R., Rajashaker, Y., and Afolabi, L.A., 2015. Entomotoxicity of Xylopia aethiopica and Aframomum meleguta in suppressing oviposition and adult emergence of Callasobruchus maculatus (Fabricus) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) infesting stored cowpea seeds. Jordan Journal of Biological Science, 8 (4), Pp. 263-268.
- Akunne, C.E., Ononye, B.U., and Ojemro, R.T., 2014b. Mixed application of peel and leaf powders of two common fruits as wheat grain protectant against Sitophilus oryzae (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Discourse Journal of Agriculture and Food Science, 2 (12), Pp. 309-313.
- Akunne, C.E., Ononye, B.U., Mogbo, T.C., and Ngenegbo, U.C., 2014a. Evaluation of Zingiber officinale (Schum and Thonn) and Piper guineense (Roscoe) powders for the control of Sitophilus oryzae (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). American Journal of Life Science Research, 2 (3), Pp. 342-348.
- Al-Alawi, M., 2014a. Acaricidal activity of medicinal plants against the developmental stages of the two spotted spider mite, Tetranychus urticae (Acari: Tetranychidae). International Journal of Agricultural Research, 9 (1), Pp. 38-46. DOI:10.3923/ijar.2014.38.46.
- Al-Alawi, M.S., 2014b. Efficacy of essential oils from medicinal plants in control of the hairy rose beetle, Tropinota squalida (Scopoli) and their comparative toxicity to the honey bee, Apis mellifera L. American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Sciences, 9 (3), Pp. 284-288. Doi:10.3844/ajabssp.2014.284.288.
- Arthur, F.H., and Throne, J.E., 2003. Efficacy of diatomaceous earth to control internal infestations of rice weevil and maize weevil (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of Economic Entomology, 96 (2), Pp. 510-518.
- Athanassiou, C.G., Kavallieratos, N.G., Economou, L.P., Dimizas, C.B., and Vayias, B.J., 2005. Persistence and efficacy of three diatomaceous earth formulations against Sitophilus oryzae (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) on wheat and barley. Journal of Economic Entomology, 98, Pp. 1404-1412.
- Ayeni, A.O., 1991. Maize Production in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects. Journal of Food and Agriculture, 2, Pp. 123-129.
- Baskar, K., Maheshwaran, R., Kingsley, S., and Ignacimuthu, S., 2011. Bioefficacy of plant extracts against Asian army worm Spodoptera litura Fab. (*Lepidoptera: Noctuidae*). Journal of Agricultural Technology, 7 (1), Pp. 123-131.
- Fields, P., and Korunic, Z., 2000. The effect of grain moisture content and temperature on the efficacy of diatomaceous earths from different geographical locations against stored product beetles. Journal of Stored Products Research, 36, Pp. 1-13. doi.org/10.1016/S0022-474X(99)00021-1.
- Georges, K., Jayaprakasam, B., Dalavoy, S.S., and Nair, M.G., 2007. Pestmanaging activities of plant extracts and anthraquinones from Cassia nigricans from Burkina Faso. Bioresource Tech DOI: 10.1016/j.biortech.02.049.
- Ibrahim, N.D., Audu, A., Dike, M.C., Lawal, M., 2012. Effect of raw diatomaceous earth and plant powders on Callosobruchus subinnotatus (Pic) infesting bambara groundnut seeds. Scientific Journal of Pure and Applied Science, 1 (1), Pp. 9-16.
- Isman, M.B., 2006. Botanical insecticides, deterrents, and repellents in modern agriculture and an increasingly regulated world. Annual Review of Entomology, 51, Pp. 45-66. DOI:10.1146/annurev.en to.51.110104.151146.
- Kavallieratos, N.G., Athanassiou, C.G., Pashalidou, F.G., Andris, N.S., and Tomanovic, Z., 2005. Influence of grain type on the insecticidal efficacy of two diatomaceous earth formulation against Rhyzopertha dominica

- (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae). Pest Management Science, 61, Pp. 660-666. doi:10.1002/ps.1034.
- Kim, H.G., Jeon, J.H., Kim, M.K., and Lee, H.S., 2006. Pharmacological ectsofasaron aldehyde isolated from Acorusgramineus rhizome. Food Science and Biotechnology, 14, Pp. 685-688.
- Korunic, Z., 1998. Diatomaceous earths, a group of natural insecticides. Journal of Stored Products Research, 34, Pp. 87-97. doi.org/10.1016 /S0022-474X(97)00039-8.
- Medugu, M.A., Okrikata, E., and Dunuwel, D.M., 2020. Management of Sitophilus zeamais Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) using Nigerian Raw Diatomite. Journal of Applied Science and Environmental Management, 24 (9), Pp. 1663-1669. doi: 10.4314/jasem.v24i9.26.
- Mofunanya, A.A.J., and Nta, A.I., 2016. Entomotoxicity of six indigenous plants extracts in controlling Callosobruchus maculatus Fabricius (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) infestation in stored Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp. IOSR Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Sciences, 11 (6), Pp. 45-49.
- Mordue-Luntz, A.J., and Nisbet, A.J., 2000. Azadirachtin from the neem tree Azadirachta indica: its action against insects, Anais da Sociedade Entomol do Brasil, 29, Pp. 615-632. doi.org/10.1590/S0301-8059200000400001.
- Mulungu, L.S., Lupenza, S.O., Rueben, O.W., and Misangu, R.N., 2007. Evaluation of botanical products as stored grain protectant against maize weevil Sitophilus zeamais. Journal of Entomology, 4 (3), Pp. 258-262. DOI: 10.3923/je.2007.258.262.
- Obembe, O.M., Ojo, D.O., and Ileke, K.D., 2020. Efficacy of Kigelia africana Lam. (*Benth.*) leaf and stem bark ethanolic extracts on adult cowpea seed beetle, [Callosobruchus maculatus Fabricius (*Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae*)] affecting stored cowpea seeds (*Vigna unguiculata*), Heliyon, 6 (10), Pp. e05215. doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon. 2020.e05215.
- Ofuya, T.I., Idoko, J.E., and Akintewe, L.A., 2008. Ability of Sitophilus zeamais Motschulsky (Coleoptera: Curculonidae) from four locations in Nigeria to infest and damage three varieties of Maize, Zea mays L. Nigeria Journal of Entomology, 25, Pp. 34-39.
- Okwu, D.E., and Josiah, C., 2006. Evaluation of the chemical composition of two Nigerian medicinal plants. African Journal of Biotechnology, 5 (4), Pp. 357 – 361.
- Patole, N.G., 2009. Ovipositional responses of pulse beetle Callosobruchus Chinensis (L.) to solvents extracts of neem Azadirachta indica and gorakhmundi Sphaeranthus indicus. Journal of Environment and Bioscience, 23 (1), Pp. 63-67.
- Salem, S.A., Abou-Ela, R.G., Matter, M.M., and El-Kholy, M.Y., 2007. Entomocidal Effect of Brassica napus extracts on Two Store Pests,

- Sitophilus oryzae (L.) and Rhizopertha dominica (Fab.) (*Coleoptera*). Journal of Applied Science Research, 3 (4), Pp. 317-322.
- Shiberu, T., and Negeri, M., 2016. Effects of synthetic insecticides and crude botanicals extracts on cabbage aphid, Brevicoryne brassicae (L.) (*Hemiptera: Aphididae*) on cabbage. Journal of Biofertilizer and Biopesticide, 7 (1), Pp. 162. doi:10.4172/2471-2728.1000162.
- Subramanyam, B., and Roesli, R., 2000. Inert dusts. In: Subramanyam Bh., Hagstrum, D.W. (Eds.), Alternatives to Pesticides in Stored-Product IPM. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Pp. 321-380.
- Suleiman, M., and Yusuf, M.A., 2011. The potential of some plant powders as biopesticides against Sitophilus zeamais (*Motsch.*) (*Coleoptera: Curculionidae*) and Callosobruchus maculatus (F.) (*Coleoptera: Bruchidae*) on Stored grains: A review. Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences, 4 (2), Pp. 204 207. doi.org/10.4314/bajopas.v4i2.4 1.
- Tadesse, T.M., and Subramanyam, B., 2018. Efficacy of filter cake and Triplex powders from Ethiopia applied to wheat against Sitophilus zeamais and Sitophilus oryzae. Journal of Stored Products Research, 79, Pp. 40-52.
- Tijani, A.A., Osotimehin, K.O., 2007. Economics of Pesticides Use among Maize Farmers in Edo State, Nigeria. Research Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science, 3 (3), Pp. 129-132.
- Trease, G.E., and Evans, W.C., 1989. Pharmacognsy, 11th edn. Braillain Tiridel Can. Macmillian Publishers.
- Wadood, A., Ghufran, M., Jamal, S.B., Naeem, M., Khan, A., and Ghaffar, R., 2013. Phytochemical analysis of medicinal plants occurring in local area of Mardan. Biochemistry and Analytical Biochemistry, 2 (4), Pp. 1-4.
- Yadav, R.N., and Agarwala, M., 2011. Phytochemical analysis of some medicinal plants. Journal of Phytology, 3 (12). Retrieved from http://updatepublishing.com/journal/index.php/jp/article/view/273 7
- Yang, Z., Zhao, B., Zhu, L., Fang, J., and Xia, L., 2006. Inhibitory effects of alkaloids from Sophora alopecuroids on feeding development and reproduction of Clostera anastomosis. Frontiers Forestry China, 1 (2), Pp. 190-195. doi: 10.1007/s11461-006-0016-6.
- Yankanchi, S.R., and Gadache, A.H., 2010. Grain protectant efficacy of certain plant extract against rice weevil Sitophilus oryzae (L.) (*Coleoptera: Curculionidae*). Journal of Biopesticide, 3 (2), Pp. 511-513.
- Ziaee, M., and Moharramipour, S., 2013. Effectiveness of medicinal plant powders on Sitophilus granaries L. and Tribolium confusum. Journal of Crop Protection, 2 (1), Pp. 43-50.

